Review of Impact of Pupil Premium Strategy 2022-2023

Date of Review: September 2022

Desired Outcomes	Chosen Action / Approach	Measured Impact	t of Outcom	nes			
To raise attainment of PP pupils in all year groups so that the gap between PP and not-PP pupils is diminished (A1, A2 and A3). To ensure that there is a more consistent picture across the school for narrowing the gap between PP and not PP pupils.	 For all teachers to continue to specifically target PP pupils in their class (QFT) by: Identifying barriers to learning; Setting individual targets for improvement; Highlighting PP pupils in planning; Focusing on PP pupils when 	between PP and no the school. Howeve there is evidence of When we look at the evidence of the atta	n PP pupils c r, it is not a co the attainment e attainment c inment gap cl	losing in Éi onsistent pi nt gap clos of PP pupils losing, part	nglish (Rea cture acros ing in all 3 s at the end icularly in N	ading & W ss the who areas). d of Key S Maths. Th i	ture. There is evidence of the gap riting) and Mathematics across ole school (except in Year 4 wher stage 2 (Year 6), there is strong is is encouraging, and is non PP pupils is indeed
	tracking and monitoring	Year 6: 70 pu	ipils	Missing	On Track	or Higher	
	progress and attainment on	Reading	No. (%)	Assessment No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
	Target Tracker;	Males	33 (47.1%)	1 (3.0%)	93.8%	90.6%	
	Targeting PP pupils through	Females	37 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	94.6%	89.2%	
	performance management			<u> </u>			a
	targets;		(change in difference):		0.8	1.4 (0.6)	
	Discussing	Pupil Premium	10 (14.3%)	1 (10.0%)	66.7%	77.8%	
	progress/attainment of PP	Not Pupil Premium	60 (85.7%)	0 (0%)	98.3%	91.7%	
	pupils during termly Pupil	Difference	(change in difference):		31.6	13.9 (-17.7)	
	Progress Meetings to identify gaps in learning;	Year 6: 70 pu	ıpils	Missing Assessment	On Track	or Higher	
	Ensure all teaching staff are	Writing	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
	aware of prior attainment of	Males	33 (47.1%)	1 (3.0%)	78.1%	65.6%	
	PP pupils;	Females	37 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	81.1%	86.5%	
	Use of NFER/PUMA/PIRA	Difference	(change in difference):		3.0	20.9 (17.9)	
	assessments to identify	Pupil Premium	10 (14.3%)	1 (10.0%)	33.3%	44.4%	
	gaps in learning;	Not Pupil Premium	60 (85.7%)	0 (0%)	86.7%	81.7%	
	Providing high quality	Difference	(change in difference):		53.4	37.3 (-16.1)	
	feedback to PP pupils. Pre-teach/pre-learn	Year 6: 70 pu	Year 6: 70 pupils Missing Assessment		On Track or Higher		
	intervention.	Mathematics	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
	Catch up sessions for	Males	33 (47.1%)	1 (3.0%)	81.2%	90.6%	1
	target pupils – same day	Females	37 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	75.7%	83.8%	
	intervention.	Difference	(change in difference):		5.5	6.8 (1.3)	
		Pupil Premium	10 (14.3%)	1 (10.0%)	33.3%	66.7%	
		Not Pupil Premium	60 (85.7%)	0 (0%)	85.0%	90.0%	
		Difference	(change in difference):		51.7	23.3 (-28.4)	

Internal data across the school reveals more of a mixed picture.

At the end of KS 1, it would at first suggest that the attainment gap between PP and not PP pupils is widening in Writing and Maths. However, on further interrogation of the data, it shows that 100% of Pupil Pupils were working at the expected standard or above in Reading, Writing and Maths at the end of KS 1. Although the attainment gap is diminishing, we must be cautious interpreting this data as the fact that 100% of PP pupils are working at the expected standard or above is something to celebrate. We now need to look at how many of these PP pupils are working at the higher standard:

Year 2: 69 pupils		Missing Assessment	On Track or Higher		
Reading	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
Males	29 (42.0%)	1 (3.4%)	78.6%	82.1%	
Females	40 (58.0%)	1 (2.5%)	87.2%	89.7%	

Difference (c	hange in difference):		8.6	7.6 (-1.0)
Pupil Premium	5 (7.2%)	0 (0%)	100%	100%
Not Pupil Premium	64 (92.8%)	2 (3.1%)	82.3%	85.5%
Difference (c	Difference (change in difference):			14.5 (-3.2)
Year 2: 69 pup	Year 2: 69 pupils			or Higher
Writing	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23
Males	29 (42.0%)	0 (0%)	58.6%	72.4%
Females	40 (58.0%)	1 (2.5%)	82.1%	84.6%
Difference (c	hange in difference):		23.5	12.2 (-11.3)
Pupil Premium	5 (7.2%)	0 (0%)	60.0%	100%
Not Pupil Premium	64 (92.8%)	1 (1.6%)	73.0%	77.8%
Difference (c	hange in difference):		13.0	22.2 (9.2)
Vear 2: 69 num	ils	Missing	On Track	or Higher

Year 2: 69 pu	Year 2: 69 pupils		On Track or Higher		
Mathematics	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
Males	29 (42.0%)	1 (3.4%)	78.6%	85.7%	
Females	40 (58.0%)	0 (0%)	82.5%	90.0%	
Difference (change in difference):		3.9	4.3 (0.4)	
Pupil Premium	5 (7.2%)	0 (0%)	80.0%	100%	
Not Pupil Premium	64 (92.8%)	1 (1.6%)	81.0%	87.3%	
Difference (change in difference):		1.0	12.7 (11.7)	

Internal data at the end of Year 3 shows that the attainment gap is diminishing in Reading and Writing, but widening in Maths:

Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	No. (%) 39 (55.7%) 31 (44.3%) (change in difference): 5 (7.1%)	Assessmen No. (%)	t	On Track	or Higher
Males Females Difference Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	39 (55.7%) 31 (44.3%) (change in difference):			n2 21-22	Sum2 22-3
Females Difference Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	31 (44.3%) (change in difference):	2 (5.1%)		81.1%	86.5%
Difference Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	(change in difference):	0 (0%)		87.1%	90.3%
Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			6.0	
Not Pupil Premium	5 (7.1%)				3.8 (-2.2)
		0 (0%)		60.0%	80.0%
Difference	65 (92.9%)	2 (3.1%)		85.7%	88.9%
	(change in difference):			25.7	8.9 (-16.8
Year 3: 70 pu	pils	Missing Assessmen	t	On Track	or Higher
Writing	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sur	n2 21-22	Sum2 22-
Males	39 (55.7%)	2 (5.1%)		73.0%	78.4%
Females	31 (44.3%)	0 (0%)		77.4%	77.4%
Difference	(change in difference):			4.4	1.0 (-3.4)
Pupil Premium	5 (7.1%)	0 (0%)		20.0%	60.0%
Not Pupil Premium	65 (92.9%)	2 (3.1%)		79.4%	79.4%
	(change in difference):			59.4	19.4 (-40.
Difference	(change in unerence).			33.4	19.4 (-40.
		Missing			
Year 3: 70 pu	pils	Assessmen		On Track	or Higher
Mathematics	No. (%)	No. (%)	_	n2 21-22	Sum2 22-2
Males	39 (55.7%)	2 (5.1%)	_	86.5%	91.9%
Females	31 (44.3%)	0 (0%)		87.1%	93.5%
	(change in difference):			0.6	1.6 (1.0)
Pupil Premium	5 (7.1%)	0 (0%)		80.0%	80.0%
Not Pupil Premium	65 (92.9%)	2 (3.1%)		87.3%	93.7%
Difference	(change in difference):			7.3	13.7 (6.4)
ar 4 reveals a consi	stent picture:				
		Missing Assessment	On	Frack or Hi	gher
Year 4: 69 pupi			Sum2 2		
Reading	No. (%)	No. (%)			n2 22-23
	No. (%) 43 (62.3%)	1 (2.3%)	88.19 88.59	6	m2 22-23 88.1% 84.6%
Reading Males Females Difference (ch	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) ange in difference):	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%)	88.59 0.4	6 6 6 3	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) ange in difference): 10 (14.5%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)	88.59 0.4 80.09	6 6 6 3 6 3	88.1% 84.6% 5.5 (3.1) 80.0%
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) ange in difference):	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%)	88.59 0.4	6 3 6 3 6 3	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) ange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) ange in difference):	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7	6 3 6 3 6 3	88.1% 84.6% 3.5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% .9 (-1.8)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupi Writing	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) iange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) iange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) iange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) iange in difference): No. (%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 0n Sum2 23	6 3 6 3 6 7. 7. Frack or Hi 1-22 Sur	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% .9 (-1.8) igher m2 22-23
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 43 (62.3%) ange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) ange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) ange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) ange in difference): Is No. (%) 43 (62.3%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 0n 5um2 2 76.29	6 3 6 3 6 7. 7. Frack or Hi 1-22 Sur	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) igher m2 22-23 78.6%
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) iange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) iange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) iange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) iange in difference): No. (%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 0n Sum2 23	6 3 6 3 6 5 7. Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 6 1 1.	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% .9 (-1.8) igher m2 22-23 78.6% 76.9% .7 (-6.7)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females Difference (ch	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 1s No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 9.7 9.7 Sum2 2 76.29 84.69 8.4	6 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 7 ack or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 6 7. 6 1. 6 1.	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) igher n2 22-23 78.6% 76.9% 7. (-6.7) 60.0%
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females Difference (ch Uriting Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) lange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) lange in difference): ls No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) lange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 7 Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 7. 6 1. 6 9. 6 9.	88.1% 84.6% 5.5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9.9 (-1.8) igher n2 22-23 78.6% 76.9% 76.9% 76.6% 76.0% 81.0%
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females Difference (ch Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Dupil Premium Difference (ch Difference (ch	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 18 No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference):	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 9.7 9.7 Sum2 2 76.29 84.69 84.69 84.59 34.5	6 3 6 3 6 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 76.9% 76.9% 76.67) 60.0% 81.0% 0 (-1.3.5)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupi	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 43 (62.3%) 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) aange in difference):	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 Sum2 2 76.29 84.69 84.59 84.5 34.5	6 3 6 3 6 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 76.9% 76.9% 76.6% 76.9% 7. (-6.7) 60.0% 81.0% 0 (-13.5)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Year 4: 69 pupil Mathematics	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%)	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 Sum2 2 76.29 84.69 84.69 84.59 84.59 84.59 34.5 9 84.59 34.5 9 84.59 84.59 84.59 84.59	6 3 6 3 6 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	88.1% 84.6% .5; (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) igher n2 22-23 78.6% 76.9%
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil Writing Males Females Difference (ch Writing Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupil	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 43 (62.3%) 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) aange in difference):	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment	88.59 0.4 80.09 89.79 9.7 Sum2 2 76.29 84.69 84.59 84.5 34.5	6 3 6 3 6 7 7 Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 1 6 2 1 6 2 1 1 6 2 1 1 6 2 1 6 2 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	88.1% 84.6% .5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 76.9% 76.9% 76.6% 76.9% 7. (-6.7) 60.0% 81.0% 0 (-13.5)
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupi Writing Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupi Mathematics Males Females	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) lange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 43 (62.3%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) hange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 50 (37.7%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%)	88.59 0.4 80.00 89.79 9.7 Sum2 2: 76.29 84.69 84.59 34.5 Sum2 2: 97.69 84.5	6 1 6 3 6 7 7 Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 1 6 21. Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 4 6 4 1. 6 5 1. 6 4 2. Track or Hi	88.1% 84.6% 5.5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1
Reading Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupi Writing Males Females Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Pupil Premium Difference (ch Year 4: 69 pupi Mathematics Males Females	No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) lange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) lange in difference): ls No. (%) 43 (62.3%) 26 (37.7%) lange in difference): 10 (14.5%) 59 (85.5%) lange in difference): ls No. (%) 43 (62.3%)	1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.7%) Missing Assessment No. (%) 1 (2.3%)	88.59 0.4 88.00 89.79 9.7 Sum2 2: 76.22 84.66 84.4 50.00 84.55 34.5 Sum2 2: 97.6	6 3 6 3 6 7 7 Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 21. Track or Hi 1-22 Sur 6 8 6 9 1-21 Sur 6 9 1-21 Sur 6 1-21 Sur 6 1-2	88.1% 84.6% 5.5 (3.1) 80.0% 87.9% 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 9 (-1.8) 78.6% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 76.9% 90 (-13.5) 81.0% 0 (-13.5) 81 95.2%

		Internal data at the e but widening in Rea			the attainr	nent gap i	s closing signficantly in Writing,
		Year 5: 70 pu	0	Missing Assessment	On Track	or Higher	
		Reading	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
		Males	33 (47.1%)	2 (6.1%)	83.9%	96.8%	
		Females	37 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	86.5%	97.3%	
			change in difference):	0.(09()	2.6	0.5 (-2.1)	
		Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	9 (12.9%) 61 (87.1%)	0 (0%) 2 (3.3%)	77.8% 86.4%	88.9% 98.3%	
			change in difference):	2 (3.370)	8.6	9.4 (0.8)	
				Missing			
		Year 5: 70 pu	pils	Assessment	On Track	or Higher	
		Writing	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22		
		Males	33 (47.1%)	2 (6.1%)	71.0%	80.6%	
		Females	37 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	70.3%	91.9%	
			change in difference):	0.(0%)	0.7	11.3 (10.6)	
		Pupil Premium Not Pupil Premium	9 (12.9%) 61 (87.1%)	0 (0%) 2 (3.3%)	44.4%	88.9% 86.4%	
			change in difference):	2 (3.376)	30.2	2.5 (-27.7)	
		Difference (enunge in unerence).		50.2	2.5 (27.7)	
		Year 5: 70 pu	pils	Missing Assessment	On Track	or Higher	
		Mathematics	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
		Males	33 (47.1%)	2 (6.1%)	93.5%	96.8%	
		Females	37 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	81.1%	83.8%	
			change in difference):		12.4	13.0 (0.6)	
		Pupil Premium	9 (12.9%)	0 (0%)	66.7%	66.7%	
		Not Pupil Premium	61 (87.1%) change in difference):	2 (3.3%)	89.8% 23.1	93.2% 26.5 (3.4)	
		attainment gap has	clearly closed	in Reading	, Writing a	nd Maths b	the school. The fact that the by the end of Key Stage 2 is and that impact can be seen over
By focusing on metacognition and self- regulation approaches ('learning to learn'), pupils will be more able to think about their own learning more explicitly and develop a repertoire of strategies to choose from and the skills to select the most suitable strategy for a given learning task. Pupils, through a metacognition focus, are able to apply learning strategies to overcome challenging tasks. This, in turn, should have a positive impact on attainment and progress. Pupils can self-regulate and plan an approach to learning which will work for them. (A1,2,3 & 4)	Continue work on embedding a growth mindset culture in children across the school. For example, 'Is this my best work?' rather than 'this will do.' The language of growth mindset to be routinely used within all classrooms e.g. 'I can't do thisyet!'. Continued promotion of 'growth mindset' dinosaurs in EYs, promoting growth mindset in an Early years friendly way.	than the end product observed during info and teaching assista and will persevere w as developing resilie closely linked with o ambitious and we ar challenge themselved done to ensure that practice is consisten Zones of Regulation recognise their own across the school. S	t. The langua ormal lesson of onts feedback with a challeng ence and build ur mission sta e courageous es e.g. in Math the 'learning p thy seen acros – these are f emotions, and ome parents	ge of growt lrop ins and suggests t jing task for ling esteem atement of ' s). Children hs where w bit' is referrance s the whol irmly embe d the strate have respon	h mindset i d pupil voice hat childrer r longer per takes time Reaching f are encour e follow a n ed to and u e school. dded withir gies that th nded positi	s firmly en e feedback n are gradu- riods of time and is no or the star- raged to en nastery ap sed in all classro ey can use ively to the	school, recognising effort rather nbedded within classrooms, as a conducted by KB. Teachers ually becoming more resilient the. This is still work in progress t a quick fix solution. This is s' and our core values (we are near the 'reach zone' and proach. More work needs to be classrooms, so that good coms. Teaching children to be to support them continues use techniques and have coarticularly useful for one to one

	 Mistakes to be recognised that effective learning is taking place – challenge/reach zone. The Learning Pit to be established within every classroom (and displayed). Children to show a growing understanding of how it feels when they enter the learning pit. They understand that they have entered their 'stretch zone' and that this is when deep learning takes place. Continue to ensure that Zones of Regulation are referred to regularly throughout the school day (check in time) and strategies for regulation are used. Encourage pupils to take greater responsibility for their learning and to develop their understanding of what is required to succeed. Consider how, in the classroom, teachers can promote and develop metacognitive talk related to the learning objectives. Revisit Metacognition CPD – 'Growth Mindsets' led by Barry Hymer 3/1/2020. Refer all teaching staff to their copy of 'Growth Mindset' pocketbook by Barry Hymer and Mike Gershon. Encourage pupils to take greater responsibility for their learning and to develop their understanding of what is required to succeed. 	TAs who work with children who struggle to regulate their emotions. All one to one TAs have given positive feedback about the impact of these strategies. Metacognition (learning to learn) will be a focus in our next School Development Plan – Mrs Brundrett and Miss Brockes will be focusing on successful learning behaviours: what they look like and how they can be easily identified in a child friendly way. It is difficult to fully measure the impact of our focus on metacognition and self-regulation approaches as it is difficult to quantify. Feedback from our teaching staff has been overwhelmingly positive, with all teachers noting an improved awareness amongst pupils of their responsibility as learners and a recognition that we learn through making mistakes and that when we enter our 'reach zone', this is when deeper learning takes place. Staff have reported that pupils are becoming more resilient when faced with a challenge and that they are more likely to use the language of GM e.g. 'I can't do it, yet.' Children have also been observed coaching their peers by using this language when entering the 'reach zone' of learning. It is hoped that the impact of a greater focus on metacognition strategies, self-regulation and Growth Mindset will be seen over time in our data. This is more of a long term view.
To improve the academic performance of pupils by focusing on outdoor learning. (A1,2,3 & 4).	Whole school focus on outdoor learning, specifically targeting PP pupils and LAC pupils in Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2. Send selected staff from each Phase on outdoor learning training (Forest Schools) led by Nature's Den.	Selected PP pupils in EYFS/KS 1 attended Forest School for 12 weeks. Selected PP pupils in KS 2 attended Forest School for 12 weeks. When staff were surveyed about pupils' learning behaviours in the classroom before, during and after the 12 week Forest School sessions, all reported positive outcomes at the end of the project in terms of:

into pup prac Targ to le clas Targ hav enri Prot clott wat Pur Sch	rest School course leaders to come o our school to work with staff and pils for half a term – model good actice. rget specific PP pupils who struggle learn within the confines of the ssroom. rget specific PP pupils who do not we access to any other forms of richment with PP grant. ovide pupils with correct outdoor thing, if not available – e.g. terproof playsuits and wellies. rchase equipment for Forest hools so that our teaching staff can ntinue to promote outdoor learning.	 Improved listening skills Improved concentration Improved concentration Increased confidence Greater independence Greater independence Greater independence Its seems that pupils were able to transfer some of the skills which they developed during Forest Schools back into the classroom. This year, we held Forest School in EYFS/ KS 1 and KS 2, targetting PP/LAC and vulnerable pupils. A significant proportion of these pupils had social/emotional and behavioural needs. Staff noted how beneficial these sessions are for these challenging pupils as they have enjoyed the freedom of learning outdoors, but have also learnt about turn taking, following the rules (e.g. rules for the fire pit), sharing equipment and listening to others. Some of these skills have been transferred into the classroom where an improvement in behaviour has been noted on occasions. Another positive has been increased self-confidence and esteem. In addition, staff also monitored pupils using PEMS (Behaviour) and the Leuven Scale (for Wellbeing and Involvement). An analysis of level scores awarded each week by staff show a steady increase in scores for well-being, involvement and behaviour for individual pupils. Pupil Survey: Pupils have also responded extremely positively to their Forest School experience: 1 love doing and the adtivities and every week is always different in its own special way! Overall, it's probably the BEST thing I've ever done! Year 5 pupil. ¹ Iove going on the harmock. I enjoy learning new skills and it makes me smile.' Year 4 pupil. ¹ really enjoy the different activities that we experience each week. My favourite activity is dimbing trees. I think Forest School has helped me with my confidence.' Year 5 pupil. ¹ has been worderful to see the children nove in confidence each week. They have all gained so much from participating in Forest Sch

B. To improve language and communication skills on entry and widen children's vocabulary and language across the school.	Continue whole school focus on language, communication and vocabulary. English leads to attend TTSA's Virtual Vocabulary Conference in November 2022. SLT to feedback to staff and lead staff training on strategies suggested during course. Introduction of Word Walls in each classroom. Train teachers to become more knowledgeable and confident in explicit vocabulary teaching – strategies recommended in Alex Quigley's Closing the Vocabulary Gap.' Introduction of strategies to widen and develop language and vocabulary recommended by Jane Considine Inset – e.g. focus on high utility words during Book Talk, word mats, sentence stacking to highlight ambitious vocabulary. 'Shades of meaning' displays – arranging synonyms for words into low to high intensity words e.g. glimpse, look, glare. Focus on language and vocabulary during comprehension lessons – purchase of Cracking Comprehension resources. To specifically target language and communication skills on entry, Wellcomm toolkit to be used for ALL pupils following very successful trial last year.	This has continued to be a whole school focus, following on from CPD during a staff meeting based on Alex Quigley's approach to closing the vocabulary gap. As a result, the following have been successfully implemented in all classrooms: Word of the week Vocabulary wall Daily and explicit teaching of vocabulary. Use of dictionaries and thesaurses Adoption of specific vocabulary techniques e.g. Frayer models in KS 2. Vocabulary bookmarks Rigorous focus on language and vocabulary during weekly comprehension skills lessons. In EYFS, Wellcomm toolkit was successfully used to identify pupils at a very early stage who may benefit from speech and language support. (Impact? ask Mike for input?) SALT therapist PM) employed to work in school x 1 day per week to work with target pupils. Ongoing target.
--	--	--

ii. Targeted support

Desired Outcomes	Chosen Action / Approach	Measured Impact of Outcomes
To ensure that pupils from the most vulnerable and disadvantaged backgrounds are not adversely affected by the disruption to their education, caused by Covid-19. (X)	Focus on social/emotional needs and wellbeing of our PP/LAC pupils – PSHE scheme (Jigsaw), mindfulness, Circle Time, Values, use of Sensory/Nurture room. Identify target PP pupils to take part in keep up intervention programmes to provide additional, targeted support in English/Maths – intervention for target pupils working below age related expectation in Summer 2022	We have continued to monitor the progress, attainment and wellbeing of our vulnerable/disadvantaged pupils. See individual case studies for further details. Internal and external data indicates that attainment and progress has returned to similar %s as they were pre-pandemic.

	Employ tutor/teacher for keep up intervention using additional PP funding – Doyenne Assist.					
	KB to research most effective catch up strategies - see the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) has published a <u>coronavirus (COVID-19)</u> support guide for schools					
	Gaps in learning to be identified and support programme put in place to ensure rapid progress is made.					
	Measure impact - Data pre/post intervention shows good progression and improved levels of attainment – evidence of gaps in learning being addressed.					
A1.To raise attainment of PP children in Key Stage 2 (Year Six) data – targeted intervention in	Quality First Teaching. Deputy Head teacher to provide	Comparison of attainment data Year 6 (2022-2023) shows sigr				nd at the end of
Maths and English.	targeted additional support to PP pupils in Year 6 in small groups for English and Mathematics – each group to receive 2 hours additional support per week. HLTA to also support PP pupils in small groups in English and Mathematics. Focus of additional intervention is to	Year 7: 10 pupils		Missing Assessment	On Track or Higher	
		Reading	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23
		All Pupils	10 (100%)	1 (10.0%)	66.7%	77.8%
				11.1		
		Year 7: 10 pupils		Missing Assessment	On Track or High	
	enable pupils to overcome gaps in learning to help them make improved	Writing	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23
	progress and to raise their standards of	All Pupils	10 (100%)	1 (10.0%)	33.3%	44.4%
	achievement.	Change:				11.1
	Meet with parents of individual pupils to ensure that they support children with homework and that they are on	Year 7: 10 pupils		Missing Assessment	On Track	or Higher
	board with additional support.	Mathematics	No. (%)	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23
	PP children receive targeted	All Pupils	10 (100%)	1 (10.0%)	33.3%	66.7%
	intervention in Reading, Writing and Maths led by Deputy Head teacher.	· · · · · · · ·	Change:			33.4
	Use pre-teach/pre-learn interventions and daily catch up strategies.	Change Key:	Increase in %	Decrease in %	Unchanged	
	Deputy Head teacher to 'check in' daily with PP pupils to monitor attendance, well-being, homework and reading.	This indicates that the targeted impact. Continue this approach		utlined in column	2) has had the	e necessary

A2.To raise attainment of PP children in Key Stage 1 (Year 2) data – targeted intervention in Maths and English	Purchase additional intervention resources (CPG booster materials). PP TAs to provide some additional intervention for target PP pupils (BQ and BW). Quality First Teaching. Deputy Head to provide 1-hour	Analysis of data from Sum of PP children in English a			er 2022-2023 sh	nows the attainm
	additional intervention in small groups.	Year 3: 5 p	oupils	On Track	or Higher	
	Year 2 T.A. to provide targeted intervention and support in class to PP	Reading	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
	pupils.	All Pupils	5 (100%)	100%	100%	
	Use pre-teach/pre-learn interventions and daily catch up strategies. BQ to provide targeted intervention for PP pupils to close gaps in learning and to raise attainment and progress.		Change:		0.0	
		Year 3: 5 pupils On T			rack or Higher	
		Writing	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
		All Pupils	5 (100%)	60.0%	100%	
			Change:		40.0	
		Year 3: 5 p	oupils	On Track	or Higher	
		Mathematics	No. (%)	Sum2 21-22	Sum2 22-23	
		All Pupils	5 (100%)	80.0%	100%	
			Change:	:	20.0	
		Change Key:	Increase in % Dec	rease in % Ur	nchanged	
		This indicates the targeted outcomes. This will continue		pupils has beer	n successfully i	n terms of pupil

A3.To ensure those children who are PP and SENAS make expected progress where achieving National Standard is unobtainable.	Quality First Teaching – ensure PP pupils are focus in planning, teaching, marking, feedback and monitoring. Refresh training on using Clicker 8 (whole school licence) . Purchase of online subscription to Project X (reading intervention). Boosting Reading at Primary intervention to target some of our PP pupils. Targeted intervention/support given by T.A.s. including: intervention groups, 1 to 1 Precision Teaching and support during lessons To implement the termly use of assessments for those PP children on the SEN register as an accurate measure of progress – termly NFER/PIRA/PUMA assessments. Use pre-learn/pre-teach/over learning strategies and same day, 'in the moment' catch up sessions. Rigorous tracking of SENAS pupils' progress on Target Tracker (termly) by SENCo. Focus of termly Pupil Progress meetings.	 We will focus on progress of PP and SEND pupils as the end of KS 1 and the end of KS 2 as an indicator: In Year 2, there are no PP pupils who are also SEND. In Year 6, there are 6 pupils who are both PP and SEND. Expected progress in one academic year is 6 steps or more on Target Tracker, our internal tracking system. Reading: 5 pupils (83%) progressed by 6 steps or more. The one pupil who did not secure expected progress in Reading is a pupil with significant learning needs as well as social and emotional needs. She has an EHC plan and works with a one to one TA. She secured 4 steps progress which we consider good personal progress for her. Writing: 4 pupils (67%) progressed by 6 steps or more. The remaining two pupils progressed by 4 steps which, although below expected progress, is good personal progress for those individuals: one has EHC plan and significant need; the other has significant issues at home which impact learning. See individual case study. Maths: 4 pupils (67%) progressed by 6 steps or more. The remaining two pupils progressed by 4 steps which, although below expected progress, is good personal progress for those individuals: one has EHC plan and significant need; the other has significant issues at home which impact learning. See individual case study. Maths: 4 pupils (67%) progressed by 6 steps or more. The remaining two pupils progressed by 4 steps which, although below expected progress, is good personal progress for those individuals: one has EHC plan and significant need; the other has significant issues at home which impact learning. See individual case study. This data suggests that pupils who are PP and SEND generally make expected progress or better. Where this is not possible, there is usually a story for that individual child and this is where case studies are particularly useful.
A4. To stretch and challenge our more able Pupil Premium pupils to enable them to excel.	Quality First Teaching. Relentless whole school focus on PP pupils. Implementation of metacognition and self-regulation strategies – learning to learn. Targeted intervention for PP pupils by Deputy Head. Parents of target PP pupils to meet with Deputy Head. Rigorous tracking of target PP pupils – focus on Pupil Progress meetings. High expectation ethos established across the school. Purchase of challenge/stretch resources for most able PP pupils. Additional tuition provided for target PP pupils who have potential to achieve higher standard in Reading, Writing and Maths (Doyenne Assist).	This continues to be an ongoing challenge. Mrs Quinn and Miss Williams (TA) have continued to work throughout the year with PP pupils working at expected and above to try to challenge and extend their learning. We have also targeted some of these pupils for one to one tuition. End of Key Stage SATs results continue to show a difference in attainment at the higher level between PP and not PP. This data is not validated at the moment as we are currently waiting for the IDSR to be published. This is based on internal data: Year 6 - Key Stage 2 % of pupils attaining greater depth: Reading - PP: 22.2% Not PP: 48.3% Writing - PP: 0% Not PP: 36.7% Year 2 - Key Stage 1: % of pupils attaining greater depth: % of pupils attaining greater depth: Reading - PP: 40% Not PP: 23.7% (this is particularly impressive) Writing - PP: 0% Writing - PP: 0% Not PP: 29.7%

		We have seen an increase in the % of pupils working at the higher standard in Reading from the end of the previous academic year in both Year 2 and also in in Year 6. For example, at the end of Year 6, 2 pupils achieved the higher standard in Reading compared to 0 pupils in the previous year. Similarly, at the end of Year 2, 40% of pupils achieved the higher standard in reading, compared to only 20% of pupils at the end of Year 1. In Maths, 20% of pupils achieved the higher standard in Maths at the end of Year 2, compared to 0% at the end of Year 1. This suggests that although there is still a significant difference in the % of pupils who achieve the higher standard when we compare PP to not PP, data does suggest that more PP pupils are achieving the higher standard than in previous years. This is particularly significant in Y6, where 6 out of 10 PP pupils are also SEND. The need to increase the % of PP pupils working at the higher standard remains.
To raise attainment of LAC/ADPP pupils and/or to ensure they made expected progress or better.	Quality First Teaching. Targeted intervention provided for ADPP/LAC pupils across the school (BQ & LS). Use of pre-teach/pre-learn intervention and same day catch-up intervention. Take into account social/emotional needs of individual LAC pupils which may impact on their learning – e.g. attachment. Access to Sensory/Nurture Room.	We currently have 4 LAC pupils. This is a summary of individual attainment and progress (expected progress is 6 steps on Target Tracker): Year 6 pupil: Reading – Expected standard and 7 steps progress (better than expected progress) Writing – Just below expected standard (working towards) and 8 steps progress Maths – At risk (low end of expected standard) and 8 steps progress Year 4 pupils (x3 pupils) Pupil 1 (SEND: EHC): Reading – Working towards and 8 steps progress Writing – Working towards and 5 steps progress Maths – Working towards and 5 steps progress Maths – Working towards and 5 steps progress Maths – Working towards and 3 steps progress Maths – Working towards and 3 steps progress Pupil 2: Reading – At risk (lower end of expected standard) and 6 steps progress Maths – At risk (lower end of expected standard) and 7 steps progress Pupil 3: Reading – Expected standard and 6 steps progress Writing – At risk (lower end of expected standard) and 7 steps progress Maths – Expected standard and 7 steps progress Maths – Expected standard and 7 steps progress

iii. Other approaches

Desired Outcomes	Chosen Action / Approach	Measured Impact of Outcomes
C.To increase parental engagement/empowerment	Head teacher and Deputy to meet with parents of all PP pupils in Year 6 to ask for their support with their child's schoolwork.	This remains an ongoing target and is very much work in progress as we constantly seek to improve and refine our systems to maximise parental engagement. We conducted 2 parental surveys this year and we are using this feedback to make improvements/refinements in order to

Clear targets to be set for improvement that will be shared with the parents. Parents will be expected to look at their child's homework, sign it and respond to teacher's feedback. Parents will read regularly with their child and Deputy Head teacher will monitor this. Increased contact with previously disengaged families. Mrs Sanderson (Pastoral Lead/Family Liaison) to offer additional support to target families. Support vulnerable children with family routines: a good breakfast, uniform, access to residential visit, the curriculum and pastoral support. All teaching staff to focus on homeschool communication with PP parents, e.g. careful monitoring of pupils' Planners. Ensure parents attend Parents' Evening and follow up non-attenders. Use of Seesaw - home/school learning platform. Facebook/school website. Play and Stay sessions in EYFS. Subscribe to Learning with Parents website.

improve parental engagement, for example, we will reintroduce our Meet the Teacher evenings early in September 2023 in order to engage with parents, enable them to meet teachers in an informal setting, make expectations clear, inform parents about what their child is learning etc. We will also hold more workshops in order to engage parents in their children's learning e.g. during Autumn 2, we will hold a consultation on the RSE curriculum and invite parents to a workshop so they understand more clearly what their child will be learning, and the rationale for it.

The Head teacher and Deputy Head teacher successfully met with all parents of Year 6 Pupil Premium pupils on an individual basis. This was a lengthy process, but one that paid dividends as we were able to reiterate the importance of daily reading at home, of ensuring that homework is completed in a quiet, calm space and to reinforce the home/school link. The meetings were all very positive and all parents were appreciative of the additional support/intervention which was going to be put in place in Maths and English, led by the Deputy Head teacher. Clear targets for improvement were drawn up and shared with parents and these were focused on during twice weekly sessions in small, focus groups with the Deputy Head teacher and also in small groups led by the HLTA in Year 6. Daily check ins with these pupils by Deputy Head showed that all PP pupils in Year 6 were reading regularly at home, completing their homework and remembering to bring their planners into school every day.

We continue to make good use of our online learning platform, **Seesaw**, to forge links between home/school. This has included setting home learning tasks, but also saw a significant increase in home/school communication as parents are able to message teachers directly and vice versa. We also have continued to make good use of Planners to communicate with parents, to record home reading and homework, and to deliver key messages about the day to day running of the school. Whole school messages are conveyed via Parent Pay. All channels of communication were explained again to parents in letter that was sent out this year in order to simplify methods of communication.

Our school website continues to be a source of a wealth of useful information for parents and is regularly updated by Mr Reynolds. Key information about learning and the curriculum is shared via termly curriculum newsletters so that parents are fully informed about what their children will be learning and how to best support at home. Next year, we plan to share Knowledge Organisers with parents/carers on Seesaw to ensure that more parents access information about what their child is learning. Feedback consistently shows that this is the area that parents feel that they would like to know more about.

EYFS have held regularly parent drop ins/workshops and Play & Stay sessions. Mental Health – website continue to be kept up-to-date to signpost and support parents with all aspects of mental health and wellbeing, including notice boards in the parent waiting areas. Mrs Sanderson has continued to liaise closely with families of our vulnerable pupils, establishing strong, positive relationships with these families in order to provide any necessary support and also to promote the importance of education. This has helped to 'break down' some barriers and improve relationships between home and school.

All parents/carers of PP pupils attended Parents' Evening (via School Cloud and face to face). We continue to subscribe to website 'Learning with Parents' which aims to set small, manageable

		but engaging ta learning at hon Moving forward mornings to inv and to discuss SLT/Mrs Sand	ne. Uptake d, we now vite parent any conce	e is mixe plan to l s into sc erns etc.	d, and w hold mor hool on in a mo	ve contir re 'face t an infor re inforn	nue to we to face' e mal basi nal mani	ork hard events. ^v s so tha ner.	l to pron We wou it they a	note this Id like to re able t	with our arrange o chat w	r parents. e coffee
D.To improve attendance/punctuality of those target PP pupils (target pupils with attendance below 96%). Whole school attendance of 96% is achieved for all groups.	SLT and Pastoral/Family Liaison Lead to continue to support families where children's attendance is having an impact on progress and attainment (see individual case studies). Daily monitoring by Pastoral/Family Liaison Lead. Daily 'check in' of Year 6 PP pupils by Deputy Head teacher. Swift referral to E.W.O. of persistent	Mrs Sandersor attendance and specifically targ Daily check ins Below is Attend † Tracker	d punctua geting. of target dance Rep	ity of vul PP pupil	Inerable Is by Mrs P pupils Tota	pupils a s Quinn s, followe al % A	nd also and Mis ed by no ttenda	PP/LAC s Williar t PP pu ance	; pupils v ns has t pils:	whose a been suc	ttendand	ce we are
	absenteeism. Increased parental contact with	Year	No. of	Nur	Rec	¥1	Y2	¥3	¥4	¥5	Y6	¥7
	vulnerable families (see above).	Group	Pupils	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	%	% ⇔
		∀ Year 1	4	85.4%	98.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Year 2	3	95.3%	91.6%	87.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Year 3	5	95.1%	97.1%	90.1%	92.7%	-	-	-	-	-
		Year 4	5	93.9%	95.9%	95.4%	93.0%	93.7%	-	-	-	-
		Year 5	10	91.3%	97.1%	94.8%	96.9%	95.7%	95.8%	-	-	-
		Year 6 Year 7	9 10	98.8%	96.5% 92.8%	96.5% 94.5%	95.9% 96.6%	98.7% 96.7%	94.8% 94.9%	94.3% 94.6%	- 95.8%	-
		Tracker	Y1, Y	2, Y3, Y4	tendance It Pupil Premium (440 pupils)							
		Year	No. of	Nur	Rec	Y1	Y2	Y3	¥4	¥5	¥6	¥7
		Group ⇔	Pupils $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\leftrightarrow}$	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔	% ⇔
		Year 1	66	91.1%	95.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Year 2	65	97.5%	97.1%	97.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Year 3	65	94.8%	98.1%	96.5%	97.3%	-	-	-	-	-
		Year 4	64	97.2%	97.5%	98.8%	97.5%	97.9%	-	-	-	-
		Year 5	59	96.2%		97.6%	98.8%	97.4%	97.9%	-	-	-
		Year 6	61	94.8%	97.1%	97.9%	98.1%	98.3%	97.4%	96.5%	-	-
		Year 7	60	96.0%	97.4%	97.5%	97.8%	97.7%	98.3%	95.9%	95.3%	-
		The target for v achieved in all										

		across the school, depending very much on the attendance of individual pupils). Rigorous monitoring of individual pupils and being aware of their history is key to success in this area. Pupils who consistently fall below 96% attendance will continue to be monitored and tracked closely. See individual case studies.
E. To support PP children with social and emotional barriers to learning to ensure that they feel happy, safe and ready to learn. To ensure pupils have access to targeted support for mental health and wellbeing.	Pastoral Leads/Family Liaisons Lead to ensure: Increased contact with parents of targeted PP pupils. Sensory/Nurture Room to be used to support target pupils. Close monitoring of attendance and punctuality. Use of: Circle Time Social Stories Play Therapy Nurture Group Sensory Room Listening Room Continual review of class and lunchtime behaviour policies – SLT Setting up of lunchtime nurture club 'Crafty Club' for Y3/4 & Y5/6 pupils led by HM. Approaches to managing feelings through Social Stories - SENCo to support staff in understanding of social Stories. Lunchtime supervision of Listening Room by Pastoral Leads. Continued promotion of Circle Time – refresh staff training. Mindfulness sessions in class – PSHE scheme 'Anxiety Gremlin' project during Fabulous Friday sessions. Zones of Regulation strategies/training Focus on core values. Worry boxes. Referrals to Pastoral Leads – regular 1 to 1 sessions/ daily check in etc, mediation sessions and restorative practice sessions. Art therapy/play therapy for target pupils. Organise PSHE sessions for Y5/6 pupils.	Our pastoral leads, Mrs Sanderson and Mrs Sales, continue to lead in this area. They work closely with any pupils who are identified as a cause for concern by teaching staff and/or parents. KB attended and completed Senior Mental Health Lead course with Creative Education. Mental Health Governor appointed – Dr Hawkins. Mental Health Governor appointed – Dr Hawkins. Mental Health Action plan created. Whole school Inset led on Mental Health and wellbeing to ensure that it is a whole school priority and focus. Signposting documents for staff/parents/pupils shared with staff. Focus on mindfulness in all classrooms and anxiety gremlin workshops LS and DS have continued to work closely with targeted pupils – daily check ins, one to one sessions, Play Therapy. See individual case studies to measure impact. Regular timetabling of Circle Time sessions has ensured that dedicated time is given to talk about issues and also to develop the skills of listening, empathy etc. Positive feedback of the impact of these sessions has been given by all staff. Weekly PSHE lessons delivered The positive impact on children's mental health, wellbeing and social/emotional skills has also been monitored during Forest School sessions – see PEMS (Behaviour) and the Leuven Scale (for Well-being and Involvement). Worry Boxes have continued to be used into all classrooms and common areas and the Lunch/PlayTime Policies have been reviewed and updated to include a focus on assertive discipline and also an awareness of children's social/emotional needs. Zones of Regulation introduced in all classes. Sensory/Nurture Room created and is regularly used by TAs to support social and emotional needs of target pupils. Mental health and wellbeing is a recurring theme in Assemblies. We used PP funding to organise mental health and wellbeing is a recurring theme in Assemblies. We used PP funding to organise mental health and wellbeing is a necurring theme in Assemblies. We used PP funding to organise mental health and transition workshops for Year 6 pupils
 F. To support enrichment activities for PP children. To ensure all PP pupils have opportunities to attend enrichment activities to further aspirations 	Music tuition; Forest Schools; Tactile Arts Club Magic Skills for Schools	Ensuring all PP pupils have the opportunity to participate in an enriched curriculum remains a key priorty. Mrs Quinn has continued to work closely with Miss Brookes to monitor and organise provision to ensure that ALL PP pupils have the opportunity to access at least one enrichment activity.

K. Brookes - September 2023
